

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/586,448	Applicant(s) TAULU ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL HUNTLEY	Art Unit 3737	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DANIEL HUNTLEY. (3) ____.
- (2) LUAN DO. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☒ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner discussed a 35 U.S.C. 101 issue regarding the lack of a substantial machine or transformation in the previously submitted claims and suggested claim language that specifies a magnetometer, which is required for completing the claimed method. The attorney discussed this suggestion with his clients and tweaked the language slightly, agreeing to add at the beginning of claim 1, 'measuring magnetic fields of the measurement object with a multi-channel magnetometer' as well as 'wherein the measurement object is a brain' at the end of claim 1.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment